

**Global Journal of Food Science & Human Nutrition** 

Journal homepage: www.gjfshn.com



## Effects of Different Chemical Preservatives and Antioxidants on Storage Stability of Pomegranate Juice

#### Najeebullah Kakar<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Azeem<sup>\*2</sup>, Mohammad Saleem<sup>1</sup>, Shabir Ahmed<sup>1</sup>

- 1. Department of Food Technology, Balochistan Agriculture College, Quetta
- 2. Department of Food and Nutrition Sciences, Lahore University of Biological and Applied Sciences

Corresponding Author: *	ABSTRACT				
M. Azeem <u>muhammad.azeem@ubas.edu.pk</u>	The aim of current study was to investigate the effects of different chemical preservatives (sodium benzoate, potassium sorbate) and antioxidants (citric acid, ascorbic acid) on storage stability of pomegranate juice. pH and TSS				
Article History:	values indicate, that there was no influence of chemical preservatives and antioxidants on pH of pomegranate juice. Furthermore, sensory evaluation				
Received 17-10-2023	concluded that maximum score of color, flavor, taste and overall				
Received in revised form 24-10-2023	acceptability was recorded under T3 and minimum values were noted in T6.				
Accepted 25-10-2023	On the basis of present investigation, it was recommended that pomegranate				
Published online 27-10-2023	juice should be preserved in 0.05% sodium benzoate + 0.05 potassium sorbate + 0.1% Citric acid+ 0.05% ascorbic acid to improves the sensorial properties of juice.				
	Keywords:				

Preservatives, antioxidants, pomegranate juice, Total soluble solid

#### 1. Introduction

The central role of fruit crops showed a food security everywhere in the world for people. Basically, they can balance diet based on minerals mineral and vitamins, are highly nutritious and usually delicious. In addition, the increase of the, especially in farming community's fruitlet industry will create jobs. Generally, Pakistan has great impending and inspiring plan to increase the production of fruit for the renewed market and dispensation for both local and spread markets. In addition, the fruit crops are provided to keep the atmosphere friendly nature, gloom, and can easily be added to any agro-forestry platforms (MOARD, 2009). Pomegranate belongs to punicaceae family and punicoideae subfamily originated from Iran, Himalayas and Northern India. The pomegranate is most important fruit tree around the world. It is cultivated in temperate areas particularly Balochistan, Pakistan. It is grown in various districts of Balochistan such as Khanozai, Kalat, Loralai, Quetta, Ziarat and Mastung. The important pomegranate cultivar grown in Balochistan are Red delicious paper shelled Kabli, Bedana and Kandhari. In addition, Enna and Einsheimer have been introduced as low chilling new varieties (Chaudhry 1994). Pomegranate contains vitamin B (5%) and C (17%), Magnesium (3%), Iron (1%) and Calcium (1%) (Westwood, 1978). A large quantity

#### N. Kakar et al.,

of pomegranate fruit is wasted during peak harvesting. In the country a bulk of fruit was wasted might be due to lack of preservation facilities and improper handling at the time of harvesting (Chaudhry 1994).

Even if preserving food might provide a big economic benefit and help avoid surpluses from being wasted in industrialised countries, the danger of rotting could be increased by insufficient communications and transportation as well as a lack of functional storage facilities. Microbes are more likely to proliferate and induce fast oxidation in environments with high temperatures and high humidity, such as the tropics. Under these circumstances, the addition of antioxidants and antibacterial substances has shown to be a useful method for extending the shelf life of foods that are prone to spoilage (Norman et al., 1978).

Alkaline soils and saline soil are very calcareous for the grows pomegranate in most soil. Whenever pomegranate suffer moderate alkaline soils, up to pH 7.5, they like offense acid soil (pH 5.5-6.5). Pomegranates output better on deep medium to heavy soils and heavy loam soil are acceptable if good drainage is provided. Some flooding pomegranates will tolerate. In planting heavy soils on berms (raised mounds of soil) will improve soil yields and aeration. Sandy soils to light are also used in pomegranate cultivation of orchards as long as are well-irrigated (Glozer& Ferguson, 2008).

The edible part of the fruit is called arils. The fresh juice contains 85% moisture and considerable amounts of total soluble solids (TSS), total sugars, reducing sugars, anthocyanins, phenolics, ascorbic acid (vitamin C), proteins and has also been reported to be a rich source of antioxidants (Gil et al., 2000; Kulkarni and Aradhya, 2005). The edible part of the fruit contains considerable amounts of sugars, vitamins, polysaccharides, polyphenols and minerals. In spite of its importance as a semiarid cultivar (cv), little effort has been made in the study of the chemical composition of the edible part of the pomegranate. Some studies have focused on establishing a chemical composition table, mainly of oriental cvs. Studies based of general analysis (total sugars, reducing and non-reducing sugars, total nitrogen, soluble solids content (ssc), titratable acidity (TA), pectin content and ash), of the fruit and its juice have been conducted (Melgarejoet al., 2000).

There are a number of ways for preservation of pomegranate juice. Among them chemical preservation

is one of the most useful methods which consequently improves the physical, chemical and nutritional properties and also improves the shelf life of fruit. The sodium metabisulphite, sodium benzoate, sodium propionate, potassium sorbate, potassium metabisulphite, sorbic acid, Sulphur dioxide are used as major chemical preservatives for fruits and vegetables. Preservatives choice mainly depends on cost, safety, properties, quality and chemical effects.

In light of the aforementioned, it was decided that research into the efficacy and appropriateness of various chemical preservatives and antioxidants in the preservation of pomegranate juice was warranted. The objective of this research was to investigate the efficacy and appropriateness of these various preservatives and antioxidants, so the food manufacturers will be able to use the pomegranate they harvest in excess to make juice all year long, cutting down on waste. And when it comes time to harvest, the farmers will be compensated properly.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Procurement of samples

Good quality, fresh Pomegranate (Kandahari) was bought from the domestic market of Quetta. Evaluation of Pomegranate juice was performed physically (pH and TSS) and organoleptically (Color, Taste, Flavor and overall acceptability) for 75 days of storage at room temperature. The research was performed in the research laboratory of Directorate of Post-Harvest and Food Technology Agriculture Research Institute Sariab Quetta Balochistan.

#### • Selection of Fruits

Disease free and fully ripe fruits of pomegranate were selected.

#### • Washing and cleaning

Pomegranates were washed and undesirable portions were removed.

#### • Cutting and cleaning

Cutting and cleaning of pomegranate were done.

#### • Juice extraction

Pomegranate juice was extracted with the help of electric juicer.

#### 2.2. Preparation of Samples

Pomegranate juice was filled in transparent plastic containers of 1 liter and the chemical preservatives and antioxidants were added as per plan shown in table A. The samples were studied for Physical and Sensory evaluations for a total period of 75 days and data was recorded at every 15 days' interval. The product which **Table 1**. Treatment Plan

gains maximum acceptability on the basis of sensory evaluation were selected for further research work. The data was analyzed organoleptically by using 9 points hedonic scale of Larmond (1978) to choose most acceptable pomegranate juice.

	Treatment Plan
$T_1$	0.1 % sodium benzoate + 0.1% Citric acid + 0.05% ascorbic acid
$T_2$	0.1% potassium sorbate + 0.1% Citric acid+ 0.05% ascorbic acid
$T_3$	0.05% sodium benzoate + 0.05 potassium sorbate + 0.1% Citric acid+ 0.05% ascorbic acid
$T_4$	0.075% sodium benzoate+ 0.025 Potassium sorbate + 0.1% Citric acid+ 0.05% ascorbic acid
$T_5$	0.025% sodium benzoate+0.075 potassium sorbate + 0.1% Citric acid+ 0.05% ascorbic acid
$T_6$	Control (with no preservatives + antioxidants)

#### 2.3. Extraction of Samples

#### pН

pH was determined by pH meter.

#### Total soluble solids

The total soluble solid (TSS) were determined by suggested process of AOAC (2000) using Hand Refract meter.

#### **Organoleptic evaluation**

Samples of pomegranate juice were evaluated by a group of ten judges who considered its appearance, aroma, flavour, and overall acceptability. Olimarmond, a nine-point hedonic measure, was employed in the process of creating the ratings (1977).

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

In order to do a CRD on all of the treatment and storage interval data, the M-stat C compute programme was used. This analysis was carried out in accordance with Chochkron and Cox's publication (1965). An LSD Test will be used in order to differentiate between the means, as Steel and Torrie have reported (1980).

#### 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on pH of pomegranate juice

The results of this study are shown in Table 1, which details the effects that a variety of chemical preservatives and antioxidants had on the pH of pomegranate juice. The data indicates after 1st, 15th, 30th, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, the pH value of pomegranate juice was recorded as 3.28, 3.15, 3.14, 3.12, 3.10 and 3.05 under T1; 3.33, 3.28, 3.25, 3.22, 3.19 and 3.17 under T2; 3.27, 3.24, 3.23, 3.21, 3.18 and 3.15 under T3; 3.20, 3.17, 3.14, 3.11, 3.09 and 3.04 under T4; 3.28, 3.26, 3.25, 3.22, 3.19 and 3.08 under T5 and 3.19, 3.15, 3.11, 3.07, 3.01 and 2.81 under T6, respectively. On the basis of mean the pH value was recorded as 3.25, 3.20, 3.18, 3.15, 3.12 and 3.05 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively of storage period, the mean pH value was found as 3.14, 3.24, 3.21, 3.12, 3.21 and 3.05 after 1st, 15th, 30th, 45th, 60th and 75th days, respectively. This indicates that there was no influence of chemical preservative antioxidants on pH of pomegranate juice.

According to the results of statistical analysis, the length of time spent in storage as well as the treatments used had a significant impact (P<0.05) on the pH level of all of the pomegranate juice samples. The findings of Cecilia and Maia (2002), who found that pomegranate juice with a high pulp content had a lower pH, are

supported by these observations and are consistent with their conclusions.

TREATMENT	1st DAY	15DAY	30DAY	45DAY	60DAY	75DAY	MEAN
T1	3.28	3.15	3.14	3.12	3.10	3.05	3.14BC
T2	3.33	3.28	3.25	3.22	3.19	3.17	3.24A
T3	3.27	3.24	3.23	3.21	3.18	3.15	3.21AB
T4	3.20	3.17	3.14	3.11	3.09	3.04	3.12BC
Τ5	3.28	3.26	3.25	3.22	3.19	3.08	3.21AB
Τ6	3.19	3.15	3.11	3.07	3.01	2.81	3.05C
MEAN	3.25A	3.20AB	3.18AB	3.15B	3.12BC	3.05C	

Table 2. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on pH of pomegranate juice

	Storage Periods	Treatment	
S.E.	0.0451	0.0462	
LSD	0.0921	0.0944	

### 3.2. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on TSS of pomegranate juice

Results regarding the effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on TSS of pomegranate juice are presented in Table-2. The data indicates after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, the TSS of pomegranate juice was recorded as 17, 17.5, 17.5, 18, 19 and 21 under T1; 17, 17, 18, 18.5, 19 and 22 under T2; 17, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 23.5 under T3; 17, 18, 18.5, 19, 19.5 and 20 under T4; 17, 18, 18, 18.5, 20 and 20.5 under T5 and 17, 17.5, 17.5, 18,18 and 18.5 under T6, respectively.

On the basis of mean the TSS value was recorded as 18.33, 18.58, 19.75, 18.66, 18.66 and 17.75 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, the mean TSS value was found as 17,

17.50, 18.08, 18.66, 19.58 and 20.91 after  $1^{st}, 15^{th}, 30^{th}, 45^{th}, 60^{th}$  and  $75^{th}$  days, respectively.

This indicates that there was no influence of chemical preservatives and antioxidants on TSS of pomegranate juice.

To a substantial degree (P 0.05), all of the TSS values of the stored pomegranate juice samples altered in response to the various treatments and lengths of storage. This lends credence to the results of Kinh et al. (2001), who discovered that chemically preserved pomegranate juice had a greater amount of total soluble solids than unpreserved varieties did.

Table 3. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on TSS of pomegranate juice

TREATMENT	1DAY	15DAY	30DAY	45DAY	60DAY	75DAY	MEAN
T1	17	17.5	17.5	18	19	21	18.33AB
T2	17	17	18	18.5	19	22	18.58AB
T3	17	17	19	20	22	23.5	19.75A
T4	17	18	18.5	19	19.5	20	18.66AB
T5	17	18	18	18.5	20	20.5	18.66AB
T6	17	17.5	17.5	18	18	18.5	17.75B
MEAN	17.00D	17.50CD	18.08CD	18.66BC	19.58B	20.91A	

	STORAGE PERIODS	TREATMENT
S.E.	0.9367	0.5721
LSD	1.9129	1.1684

### **3.3 Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on color of pomegranate juice**

Results regarding the effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on color of pomegranate juice are presented in Table-3. The data indicates after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, the mean score of color was recorded as 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T1; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66 and 7.33 under T2; 8.66, 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66 and 7.33 under T3; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T4; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 6.66 and 6.00 under T6, respectively.

On the basis of mean score of color was recorded as 7.83, 7.99, 8.10, 7.83, 7.83 and 7.44 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, the mean score of color was found as 8.66, 8.38, 7.99, 7.17, 7.32 and 6.94 after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively. This indicates that maximum score of color was recorded for T3 and minimum score was noted for T6.

The statistical analysis showed that the amount of time spent in storage and the kind of treatment had a significant (P0.05) impact on the overall mean score of coloration for all of the pomegranate juice samples. A possible explanation for the drop in colour ratings is that Millard response acceleration occurred during storage.

TREATMENT	1DAY	15DAY	30DAY	45DAY	60DAY	75DAY	MEAN
T1	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83B
T2	8.66	8.33	8.00	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.99AB
T3	8.66	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	8.10A
T4	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83B
T5	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83B
T6	8.66	8.33	7.66	7.33	6.66	6.00	7.44C
MEAN	8.66A	8.38AB	7.99AB	7.17B	7.32B	6.94C	

Table 4. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on color of Pomegranate juice

### **3.4.** Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on flavor of pomegranate juice

Results regarding the effect of different antioxidants and chemical preservatives on flavor of pomegranate juice are presented in Table-4. The data indicates after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, the mean score of flavor was recorded as 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T1; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T2; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T3; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 7.00 and 6.66 under T4; 8.33, 7.33, 7.00, 6.33 and 6.00 under T6, respectively.

On the basis of mean score of flavor was recorded as 7.83, 7.83, 7.83, 7.49, 7.49 and 7.27 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, the mean score of flavor was found as

8.55, 8.22, 7.77, 7.44, 7.05 and 6.72 after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively.

This indicates that maximum score of flavor was recorded for T3 and minimum score was noted for T6.

According to the findings of the statistical analysis, the mean taste score of all of the pomegranate juice samples changed considerably (p0.05) while they were being stored, and this was dependent on the storage intervals and treatments. Research conducted by Chuha et al. (1993) shown that the flavour of pomegranate juice that had been preserved with potassium metabisulphite or a combination of preservatives was unaffected by the passage of time.

TREATMENT	1DAY	15DAY	30DAY	45DAY	60DAY	75DAY	MEAN
T1	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83A
T2	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83A
T3	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83A
T4	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49B
T5	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49B
Τ6	8.66	8.33	7.33	7.00	6.33	6.00	7.27C
MEAN	8.55A	8.22B	7.77BC	7.44BC	7.05C	6.72D	

Table 5. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on flavor of pomegranate juice

### 3.5. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on taste of pomegranate juice

Results regarding the effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on taste of pomegranate juice are presented in Table-5. The data indicates after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, the mean score of taste was recorded as 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 7.00 and 6.66 under T1; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T2; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T3; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 7.00 and 6.66 under

T4; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 7.00 and 6.66 under T5 and 8.66, 8.33, 7.33, 6.66, 6.00 and 5.60 under T6, respectively.

On the basis of mean score of taste was recorded as 7.49, 7.83, 7.83, 7.49, 7.49 and 7.09 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, the mean score of taste was found as 8.49, 8.16, 7.71, 7.32, 6.94 and 6.59 after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively. This indicates that maximum score of taste was recorded for T2and T3 and minimum score was noted for T6.

Table 6. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on taste of pomegranate juice

TREATMENT	1DAY	15DAY	30DAY	45DAY	60DAY	75DAY	MEAN
T1	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49B
T2	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83A
T3	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83A
T4	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49B
T5	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49B
T6	8.66	8.33	7.33	6.66	6.00	5.60	7.09C
MEAN	8.49A	8.16B	7.71C	7.32C	6.94D	6.59D	

# 3.6. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on overall acceptability of pomegranate juice

Results regarding the effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on overall acceptability of pomegranate juice are presented in Table-6. The data indicates after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, the mean score of overall acceptability was recorded as 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 6.66 under T1; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T2; 8.66, 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33 and 7.00 under T3; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 7.00 and 6.66 under T4; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 7.33, 7.00 and 6.66 under T4; 8.33, 8.00, 7.66, 6.00 and 5.66 under T6,

respectively. On the basis of mean score of overall acceptability was recorded as 7.77, 7.55, 7.83, 7.49, 7.49 and 6.99 under

T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, the mean score of overall acceptability was found as 8.44, 8.11, 7.71, 7.32, 6.94 and 6.60

after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively. This indicates that maximum score of overall acceptability was recorded for T3 and minimum score was noted for T6.

The overall acceptability of pomegranate Juice samples underwent a substantial shift, as measured by the mean score, across a variety of various storage durations and treatments (P 0.05). These results are consistent with those that were discovered by Kinhet et al. (2001), who discovered that preserving juice using potassium metabisulfite resulted in a longer shelf life for the juice. During storage at room temperature,

the greatest overall acceptability of the product is preserved due to the maximum nutritional stability, the lowest possible number of microorganisms, and the highest possible sensory value.

TREATMENT	1DAY	15DAY	30DAY	45DAY	60DAY	75DAY	MEAN
T1	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	6.66	7.77AB
T2	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.00	7.55BC
T3	8.66	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	7.83A
T4	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49C
Τ5	8.33	8.00	7.66	7.33	7.00	6.66	7.49C
T6	8.33	8.00	7.33	6.66	6.00	5.66	6.99D
MEAN	8.44A	8.11B	7.71BC	7.32C	6.94CD	6.60D	

Table 7. Effect of different chemical preservatives and antioxidants on overall acceptability of pomegranate juice

#### 4. Conclusions

According to the findings of the present research, it was found that the perceptual qualities of pomegranate juice could be improved by preserving it in a solution that included 0.05% sodium benzoate, 0.05% potassium sorbate, 0.1% citric acid, and 0.05% ascorbic acid. This was determined based on the findings of the study that is being discussed. The mean pH value was recorded as 3.25, 3.20, 3.18, 3.15, 3.12 and 3.05 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively. In case of storage period, the mean pH value was found as 3.14, 3.24, 3.21, 3.12, 3.21 and 3.05after 1st, 15th, 30th, 45th, 60th and 75th days, respectively. This indicates that there was no influence of chemical preservatives and antioxidants on pH of pomegranate juice. The mean TSS value was recorded as 18.33, 18.58, 19.75, 18.66, 18.66 and 17.75 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively. In case of storage period, the mean TSS value was found as 17, 17.50, 18.08, 18.66, 19.58 and 20.91 after 1st, 15th, 30th, 45th, 60th and 75th days, respectively. This indicates that there was no influence of chemical preservatives and antioxidants on TSS of pomegranate juice. The mean score of color was recorded as 7.83, 7.99, 8.10, 7.83, 7.83 and 7.44 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively. In case of storage period, the mean score of color was found as 8.66, 8.38, 7.99, 7.17, 7.32 and 6.94 after  $1^{st}, 15^{th}, 30^{th}, 45^{th}$ , 60th and 75th days, respectively. The mean score of flavor was recorded as 7.83, 7.83, 7.83, 7.49, 7.49 and 7.27 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively. In case of storage period, the mean score of flavor was found as

8.55, 8.22, 7.77, 7.44, 7.05 and 6.72 after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively. The mean score of taste was recorded as 7.49, 7.83, 7.83, 7.49, 7.49 and 7.09 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively. In case of storage period, the mean score of taste was found as 8.49, 8.16, 7.71, 7.32, 6.94 and 6.59 after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively. The mean score of overall acceptability was recorded as 7.77, 7.55, 7.83, 7.49, 7.49 and 6.99 under T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6, respectively. In case of storage period, the mean score of overall acceptability was found as 8.44, 8.11, 7.71, 7.32, 6.94 and 6.60 after 1<sup>st</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 45<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> days, respectively.

#### **Declaration of Interest**

The author declared no conflict of interest.

#### References

Adriana Z, Mercadante., & Delia B. Rodriguez-Amaya. (1998) "Effects of ripening, cultivar differences, and processing on the carotenoid composition of mango."J. Agri. and Food Chem. 46(1): 128-130.

Aiza P., Rivera, and Ivy Mar B. Cabornida. (2008) "Development of ready-to-drink green mango juice." USM R&D J. 16(1):71-77.

Akhtar. S, Seema Mahmood., Safina Naz., Muhammad Nasir and Muhammad Tauseef Saultan. (2009). Sensory evaluation of mangoes (Mangiferaindica L.) Grown in different regions of Pakistan." Pak. J. Bot 41(6): 2821-2829. N. Kakar et al.,

Anonymous, (1999). Economic Survey of Pakistan, 1999-2000. Economic Affairs Advisors Wing, Finance Division, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.

Ayub, M., J. Ullah., A. Muhammad and A. Zeb. (2010). Evaluation of strawberry juice preserved with chemical preservatives at refrigeration temperature. Int J. of Nutrition and Metab., Vol. 2(2): 27-32.

Azam, M., Muhammad Abdul Haq, and Abid Hasnain.(2013) "Osmotic dehydration of mango cubes: effect of novel glutenbased coating." Drying Technology 31(1): 120-127.

Balaswamy, K., Prabhakara Rao, P.G., Nagender, A., Narsing Rao, G. Martin. (2000). Primary productivity of different fruits Juices and methods in Food sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 44-57 pp.

Baliga, B. P., and A. D. Shitole. (1981). "Cocoa butter substitutes from mango fat." J. American oil Chemist's Society 58(2): 110-114.

Bezu, Tewodros, KebedeWoldetsadik., and TamadoTana. (2015). "Production Scenarios of Mango (Mangiferaindica L.) in Harari Regional State, Eastern Ethiopia." Sci. Tech.and Arts Res. J. 3(4): 59-63.

Bhardwaj, R, Balasubramanyam., B.V., Mishra., D. and Papasani, A. (2017). Preservative Effect of Pomegranate Peel Extract on the Keeping Quality of Cream Based Fat Spread, Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5(6): 323-328 (2017).

Bower, J.Holford., P., Latche, A. and Pech, J.C. (2002). Culture conditions and detachment of the fruit influence the effect of ethylene on the climacteric respiration of melon. Postharvest Bio. Technol. 26, 135-146.

Cerdá, B., Llorach, R., Cerón, J. J., Espín, J. C., & Tomás-Barberán, F. A. (2003). Evaluation of the bioavailability and metabolism in the rat of punicalagin, an antioxidant polyphenol from pomegranate juice. European j. nutrition, 42(1), 18-28.

CSA (Central Statistical Agency). (2013). Area and production of major crops. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Dak, Manish, R. C. Verma., and S. N. A. Jaaffrey. (2007). "Effect of temperature and concentration on rheological properties of "Kesar" mango juice." J. Food Eng. 80(4): 1011-1015.

Eipeson W. E., Singh., ngaseppam iboyaima. (2000). "Rheological behaviour of clarified mango juice concentrates." J. of Texture Stud 31(3): 287-295.

Flores, F.B., Martinez-Madrid, M.C., Sanchez-Hidalgo., and Romojaro. (2001). Differential rind and pulp ripening of transgenic antisense ACC oxidase melon. Plant Physiol. Biochemist. 39, 37-43.

Fowomola, M. A. (2010). Some nutrients and ant nutrients contents of mango (*Magniferaindica*) seed. African J. Food Sci. 4(8): 472 – 476.

Franco, J. A., C. Esteban, and Rodriguez. (1993). "Effects of salinity on various growth stages of muskmelon cv. Revigal." J. Horti. Sci. 68(6): 899-904.

Gil MI, Tomas-Barberan FA, Hess-Pierce B, Holcroft DM, Kader AA. (2000). Antioxidant activity of pomegranate juice and its relationship with phenolic composition and processing. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48: 4581-4589.

Giuseppe De Bac. (2010). Technical guidelines on tropical fruit tree management in Ethiopia.Availableonline:http://www.fao.org/docrep/field /009/an474e/an474e00.pdf. Accessed 01 May 2014].

Glozer, K., & Ferguson, L. (2008). Pomegranate production in Afghanistan. UCDAVIS College of Agric. & Environmental Sci., (s 32).

Griesbach J. (2003). Mango growing in Kenya. World Agro Forestry Centre (ICRAF). Nairobi, Kenya.

Ijaz, A. and M. Inayat. (1997). Post Harvest handling of mangoes. Brochure of mango and summer fruits exposition. The Horticultural Foundation of Pakistan, Islamabad. p: 33-36.

IolaF., Duarte. (2002). "Application of FTIR spectroscopy for the quantification of sugars in mango juice as a function of ripening." J. Agri and Food Chem 50(11): 3104-3111.

Kausar and Humaira. (2012). "Studies on the development and storage stability of cucumber-melon functional drink." J. Agric. Res 50(2)

Kausar, Z. (2008). "Optimization of suitable stabilizer blend for mango milk drink." Pak. J. Food Sci. (Pakistan).

Kittiphoom, S. (2012). "Utilization of mango seed." Int Food Res. J. 19(4): 1325-13

Kulkarni AP, Aradhya SM. (2005). Chemical changes and antioxidant activity in pomegranate arils during fruit development. Food Chem. 93: 319-324.

Lester, G. E. (2008). Antioxidant, sugar, mineral, and phytonutrient concentrations across edible fruit tissues of orange- fleshed Honeydew melon (CucumismeloL.). J. of Agri. and Food Chem. 56: 3694-3698. Lester, G. E. and Hodges, D. M. (2008). Antioxidants associated with fruit senescence and human health: Novel orange fleshed non-netted honey dew melon genotype comparisons following different seasonal productions and cold storage durations. Postharvest Biology and Technology 48: 347-354.

Melgarejo, P., Salazar, D. M., & Artes, F. (2000). Organic acids and sugars composition of harvested pomegranate fruits. European Food Res. and Technol., 211(3), 185-190.

MOARD. (2009). (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development). Improved technologies and resource management for Ethiopian Agriculture. A training Manual. RCBP-MoARD, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Muhammad Ayub, Javid Ullah, Ali Muhammad and Alam Zeb. (2010). Evaluation of strawberry juice preserved with chemical preservatives at refrigeration temperature. International Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism. 2 (2) : 027-032

Mukerjee, P.K. (1958). Storage of pomegranates (Punica granatum L.). Sci. Cult., 24: 94.

Pieter A., Gouws. (2005)"Isolation and identification of Alicyclobacillusacidocaldarius by 16S rDNA from mango juice and concentrate." Int. J. Food Sci & Tech. 40(7): 789-792.

Prasad, Sahdeo, NeetuKalra, and YogeshwerShukla. (2007). "Research Article Hepatoprotective effects of lupeol and mango pulp extract of carcinogen induced alteration in Swiss albino mice." Mol. Nutrition. Food Res 51: 352-359.

Prasanna, V., Prabha, and R. N. Tharanatha. (2005). "Multiple forms of  $\beta$ -galactosidase from mango (Mangiferaindica L Alphonso) fruit pulp." J. Sci. of Food and Agri. 85(5): 797-803.

Ramteke, R. S. and Eipeson, W. E. (1997). Effect of additives on the stability of mango aroma concerntrate during storage. J. Food Sci and Tech 34(3): 195-199.

Rani, S and A. Zeb. (2010). Quality evaluation of kinnow and strawberry blended juice. Thesis submitted to Dept. Food Sci and Tech.

Reddy, L. V. A., V. K. Joshi, and O. V. S. Reddy. (2012). "Utilization of tropical fruits for wine production with special emphasis on mango (Mangiferaindica L.) wine." Microorganisms in Sustainable Agriculture and Biotechnology. Springer Netherlands,. 679-710.

Rodriguez-Bernaldo de Quiros, A. and Costa, H.S. (2006). Analysis of carotenoids in vegetable and plasma samples. J. Food Compost. Anal. **19**, 97–111. Sathiya Mala, K., Jyothirmayi, T., Math, R.G. and \*Satyanarayana, A. (2013). "Development of smoothies from selected fruit pulps/juices." Int. Food Research J. 20(3): 1181-1185.

Shakoor Wisal, Javid Ullah, Alam Zeb and Muhammad Zafarullah Khan. (2013). Effect of Refrigeration Temperature, Sugar Concentrations and Different Chemicals Preservatives on the Storage Stability of Strawberry Juice. International Journal of Engineering & Technology. 13 (02) 160-165

Shakoor Wisal, Muhammad Sohail, Manzoor Ahmad Mashwani, Zafar Hayat khan, Zahid Hussain and Saqib Noor. (2014). Effect of benzoate, sorbate and citric acid on the storage stability of strawberry juice. Pak. J. Food Sci., 24 (4): 218-225

Shampa Sarkar, Debashis Kumar Dutta Roy, Alomoni, Md. Abu Bakkar Siddik, Kothika Das, and Md. Jiaur Rahman, "Effect of Chemical Preservatives and Storage Conditions on the Nutritional Quality of Tomato Pulp." American Journal of Food and Nutrition, vol. 3, no. 4 (2015): 90-100.

Soumya V,. Menon,., and TV RamanaRao. (2012). "Nutritional quality of muskmelon fruit as revealed by its biochemical properties during different rates of ripening." Int. Food Res. J. 19(4): 1621-1628.

Sreerupa Sarkar, Sangeeta Saha, Chandan Rai and Sauryya Bhattacharyya. (2014). Effect of storage and preservatives on antioxidant status of some refrigerated fruit juices. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 3 (7): 1007-1013.

Stephen Abiola Akinola, Akinbinuade Samson Akinmadeyemi, Mary Aanuoluwapo Ajatta and Charles Ogugua Aworh. (2018). Influence of chemical preservatives on quality attributes of orange juice. Croat. J. Food Sci. Technol., 10 (1): 1-8.

Stiles, B.A., S. Duffy and D.W. Schaffner. (2001). Food Microbiol., 18: 521-529.

Sumayya Rani, Jamal Abdul Nasir, Muhammad Ayub, Uzma Shahni and Alam Zeb. (2015). Study on storage stability of grape juice preserved with sodium benzoate, potassium sorbate and potassium metabisulphite. Pure and Applied Biology. 7 (1): 103-111.

Susan S., Percival, (2006). "Neoplastic transformation of BALB/3T3 cells and cell cycle of HL-60 cells are inhibited by mango (Mangiferaindica L.) Juice and mango juice extracts." The J. Nutr. 136(5): 1300-1304.

Teotia, M.S., Kaur, S. and Berry, S.K. (1997). Utilization of muskmelon (*C.melo*) – Ready-to-serve beverage from enzyme clarified juice.Indian Food Pack. 11–13. Terry and Ernest R. (1997). "Smooth amaranth interference with watermelon and muskmelon production." Hort. Sci. 32(4): 630-632.

Torrie.J.H., Steel and R.G.D. (1980). Principles and procedure of statistics. A Biometrical approach. McGraw Hill. New York. 2nd Ed. Pp.633.

Varnam, A.H. and J.P. Sutherland, (1999). Food Products Series. Technology, Chemistry and Microbiology, Vol. 2: Aspen Publication.

Warley M., Nascimento, and Sherlie H. West. (1999). "Muskmelon transplant production in response to seed priming." Hort. Tech. 9(1): 53-55.

Wisal. S., A. Zeb., M. Ayub and Ihsanullah. (2013). Refrigeration storage studies of strawberry juice with TSS of 7.5 and 20.5 o brix treated with sodium benzoate and potassium sorbate. Sarhad J. Agric. 29(3): 433-439

Wyllie S., and Grant. (1994). "Sulfur volatiles inCucumismelo cv. Makdimon (muskmelon) aroma:sensory evaluation by gas chromatography-olfactometry." ACS symposium series (USA).ZahidMehmood, AlamZeb, MohammadAyub, Nizakat Bibi and Amal Badshah . (2008). Effect of

Pasteurization and Chemical Preservatives on the Quality and Shelf Stability of Apple Juice. <u>American</u> Journal of Food Technology. 3 (2): 147-153